| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|------------------------|----------------|
| | 10/774,654 | SERGI, PAUL D. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| · | Hoang V. Nguyen | 2821 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>Hoang V. Nguyen</u> . | (3) | · |
| (2) Edward Greive. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 8 November 2005 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: 1-5,9-12 Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Kallina (US 6,313,800) and Rauch (US 2,445,336) | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Discuss affidavit submitted on 11/2/05 and amend claim 1 to overcome prior art rejection. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| - Hogu | | • |
| HOANG V. NGUYEN | • | · |
| PRIMARY EXAMINER | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |